Assistant Director of Central Intelligence for Collection

MEMORANDUM FOR: National Intelligence Collection Board Members

APPROVED FOR RELEASE DATE: NOV 2006

Washington, D.C. 20505

(b)(2)(b)(3)

CMS/98-00556 24 August 1998

SUBJECT:	Collection Jeremiah Report	Management	Responses to	the
The Interpretation of the Deputy Direct 21 September 1998 recommendations of Central Intellige to take certain at the area of collebe addressing the meeting. It is emeeting will service Congress on the Congress on the Congress of the Cong	to discuss action the Jeremiah lance for Collections in responsion management as at the Intellipped that the eas the basis of the collection of the collecti	ntelligence ions underwarence. As A a ion (ADCI/C) use to these across the ligence Communication of the DCI'	(DDCI) on y to respond ssistant Dir , I have bee recommendat Community. unity Princip the Princip s report to	to ector of n tasked ions in I will pals als' the
I know the Jeremiah recoto improve collect recommendations. responsibilities highlight the colof your organizat approach will givaggregated packag	tion management In my report, and those of the lection management ions has underta e the DDCI an or	that you had in response want to add collection ent actions aken independently to	ve actions us to those dress not on Board, but a and plans tha dently. This	nderway ly my own also to at each
To prep from you by 27 Au actions as you ca provide by fax a status (finished, official or organ briefing for the Community Managem aggregate package our future meetin	n provide. To the brief description underway, plans ization. I will be	ensive a list the extent the on of the ist ned), and the roll your of of Central is.	t of Jeremial hat you can, sue, the responsible responses intelligence, I will show	n-related please ponse, e to a for are the

SUBJECT:	Collecti kepo rt	on Managemen	t Responses	to the	Jeremiah
·					
	I am reminded	l that, even	before the	Jeremiah	Report,
the chairs on 24 Apri	of the Colle l and highlic Jeremiah cri	ction Commit hted several	tees and Co areas of w	llection ork that	Board me
dated 5 Ma Board (NIC Points.)	y 1998, Subje B) and DCI Co Many of the s uent NICB off	ect: Nationa llection Com ame collecti	l Intellige mittees Sum on issues a	nce Colle mit Resul lso were	ection lts Major raised a
	identified wi				
first DCI response t Jeremiah R of the pla demonstrat	I fully expect Report as a bound of the intellice eport. We wins and activities that we have management,	enchmark of gence shortf. Il be measur ties that we an agenda	the Intelli alls identi ed frequent have under for action	gence Cor fied in t ly on our way. We to improv	mmunity's the r pursuit need to ve
complete i	t.		·		
	•	Cna	ries E. All	en	

Attachment

SUBJECT:	večov	Collection	Management	Responses	to	the	Jeremiah
Distribut	ion:	(CMS/98-005	556)				
							,
						•	

SUBJECT:	Collection kepurt	n Management	Responses	to	the	Jeremiah
	· 					
ADCI/C		24Aug9	8	7		·
Distributi	on: (CMS/98-00	15561				
	OII. (CHS/ 70-00	,,,,,,				
						•
						•
			·			

Assistant Director of Central Intelligence for Collection Washington, D.C. 20505

CMS/98-00505 31 July 1998

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Deputy Director of Central Intelligence for Community Management
SUBJECT:	National Intelligence Collection Board Offsite Results
Executive Summary	
Collection (ADCI/(NICB) Principals	istant Director of Central Intelligence for C) and National Intelligence Collection Board met on 8 July 1998 to discuss the new ADCI/C Collection Board's role.
Collection Board in a comprehensiv Intelligence Comm	, the offsite provided an opportunity for Principals to air their concerns and to explor e fashion, the range of issues facing the unity in collection management. In particular ved three objectives, to include:
• Endorsing	the ADCI/C's portfolio;
	ideas for near-term enhancements to management; and
operations	measures to improve the Collection Board's, to include working more closely with the intelligence Production Board (NIPB).
the discussion in the Community's co	ticipants agreed that they needed to continue order to develop more concrete approaches to emplex collection management issues. The groutask several critical follow-on actions and
Intelligen	to draft a new Director of Central ce Directive (DCID) for the NICB that ify the Board's membership and lities.



SUBJECT: National Intelligence Collection Board Offsite Results

- The ADCI/C to focus on identifying measures to help break down the security compartmentations that inhibit collaboration across collection disciplines.
- The NICB, with the NIPB, to co-chair an interagency working group that will identify means to improve analyst training on collection systems.
- The NICB, with the NIPB, to co-chair another inter-agency working group that will assess the levels of current collection against Tier IA and Tier IB targets.

Discussion:

The ADCI/C laid out his new portfolio and the collection issues he plans to address. He also stressed the key role which he envisions for the Collection Board. As Principals discussed the ADCI/C's role and mission, several critical imperatives emerged to include the following:

- Devise a strategy to integrate collection capabilities across the Community.
- Devise a national intelligence requirements process.
- Solicit improved guidance for balancing competing requirements.
- Set more realistic customer expectations.
- Optimize the allocation of scarce collection resources (both capabilities and funding) against national, military, and law enforcement missions.
- Establish and implement a performance evaluation process to determine performance success and define needs and gaps for mid- and long-term investments.

SUBJECT: National Intelligence Collection Board Offsite Results

- Revitalize the NICB—outline the Board's roles and missions as well as its relationship to the Community's Collection Committees, the Production Board, and the National Intelligence Officers.
- Provide adequate resources for the Collection Board and the ADCI/C's staff.
- Ensure that the activities of the ADCI/C and the NICB are balanced between short- and long-term issues.

In order to implement these critical imperatives, the NICB rincipals agreed that the following obstacles must be addressed.

- The budget process incentivizes unique intelligence discipline contributions over multi-discipline collaboration efforts.
- Security firewalls (compartmentation) inhibit cooperation.
- Physical separation of the committees, coupled with the lack of common electronic connectivity inhibits collaboration.
- The Collection Committees are inconsistent in their operations, which undermines inter-committee cooperation and collection synergy.
- The Community wastes resources in deriving information that already exists elsewhere in the Federal bureaucracy yet is inaccessible to Intelligence Community analysts.
- The Community lacks a process for training intelligence analysts in multiple intelligence disciplines.

DIA/CL offered to host a follow-on session in September. In addition to further discussion on collection issues, the NICB Principals will be briefed on several initiatives under way to address requirements tasking.

•		_				
SUBJECT:		National	Intelligence	Collection	Board	Offsite
	Resu	lts		•		

NIMA/Central Imagery Tasking Office (CITO) also will brief the Board on the status of its initiative to synchronize and integrate intelligence collection requirements reviews.

NIMA/CITO had offered to lead this effort at an earlier offsite of DCI Collection Committee Chairs.

